On Diffusive Fast ULF Wave Radiation Belt Losses During Intense Geomagnetic Storms

Leonid Olifer¹, Ian Mann¹, Louis Ozeke¹, Steven Morley², Stavros Dimitrakoudis¹

¹Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada ²Space Science and Applications Group, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, USA

> AGU Chapman Conference 4-9 March, 2018, Cascais, Portugal



ULF transport

Boundary conditions 0





The outer radiation belt depletion happened in \sim 4 hours.

জ্ঞি ১০০ ব্রাই ২ ব্ট ২ ব্রু ২ ব্ ২/9

ULF transport

Boundary conditions 0

315

2/9

March 17, 2015 storm



The outer radiation belt depletion happened in \sim 4 hours.

 Determine diffusion coefficients

ULF transport

Boundary conditions 0





The outer radiation belt depletion happened in \sim 4 hours.

 Determine diffusion coefficients • Compare D_{LL}^E and D_{LL}^B







The outer radiation belt depletion happened in \sim 4 hours.

Determine • diffusion coefficients

- Compare D_{LL}^E and D^B_{LL}
- Define the outer boundar

Obtaining diffusion coefficients



In-situ ${f B}$ and ${f E}$

ULF power spectrum density (PSD)

$$\begin{split} D^B_{LL} &\propto L^8 \langle \text{PSD} \left(B_{\parallel} \right) f^2 \rangle, \\ D^E_{LL} &\propto L^6 \langle \text{PSD} \left(E_{\phi} \right) \rangle \\ \text{Fei et.al. [2006] for } m = 1 \end{split}$$

From statistics

Position (*L*-shell) and magnetic disturbances (K_p -index)

$$\begin{split} D^B_{LL} &= g\left(L, K_p\right),\\ D^E_{LL} &= h\left(L, K_p\right),\\ \text{where } g \text{ and } h \text{ are}\\ Ozeke \text{ et.al. [2014] statistics.} \end{split}$$



Obtaining diffusion coefficients



In-situ ${f B}$ and ${f E}$

ULF power spectrum density (PSD)

$$\begin{split} D^B_{LL} &\propto L^8 \langle \text{PSD} \left(B_{\parallel} \right) f^2 \rangle, \\ D^E_{LL} &\propto L^6 \langle \text{PSD} \left(E_{\phi} \right) \rangle \\ \text{Fei et.al. [2006] for } m = 1 \end{split}$$

From statistics

Position (*L*-shell) and magnetic disturbances (K_p -index)

$$\begin{split} D^B_{LL} &= g\left(L, K_p\right), \\ D^E_{LL} &= h\left(L, K_p\right), \\ \text{where } g \text{ and } h \text{ are} \\ Ozeke \ et.al. \ [2014] \ \text{statistics.} \end{split}$$

Questions to answer:

- How do two approaches compare?
- Is the diffusion energy independent?



Diffusion coefficients $0 \bullet 0$

ULF transport

Boundary conditions 0

GOES-13 D_{LL}^B



Diffusion coefficients $0 \bullet 0$

ULF transport

Boundary conditions 0

4/9

GOES-13 D_{LL}^B



< 🗇 >

In-situ \neq Statistics! $D_{LL}(f) \stackrel{?}{=} const$ Diffusion coefficients $\circ \circ \bullet$

ULF transport

Boundary conditions 0





In-situ \neq Statistics! $D_{LL}(f) = const!$



ULF transport ●○ Boundary conditions 0

GOES D_{LL}^B



Calculated D_{LL}^B for GOES satellites are quite close to statistics. However strong discrepancies are during the main phase.



A B A A B A A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A



^{7/9}

ULF transport

Boundary conditions

GPS Flux



^{8/9}

ULF transport

Boundary conditions

GPS Flux



Shue magnetopause in L potentially represents the outer boundary

4 A >

8/9

-

ULF transport

Boundary conditions

GPS Flux



Conclusion

- 1. Hour time scale losses can be resolved by GPS electron flux measurements.
- 2. ULF wave transport induced by magnetic field dominates over electrically induced one during the main phase of a storm.
- 3. Measured diffusion coefficients differ from statistics in as much as 100 times.
- 4. Observed $D_{LL} \approx 10 \text{ days}^{-1}$ creates a powerful transport mechanism for RB electrons.
- 5. Observed loss is strongly correlated with the last closed drift shell dynamics.
- 6. Results imply existence of the fast ULF wave transport to the proximal LCDS.



Themis $D_{LL}^{B}\left(W\right)$



اللہ ہے جاتا ہے 11/9

Themis $D_{LL}^{E}(W)$



Phase Space Density



Calculation of the Phase Space Density was performed using LANLGeoMag library for two magnetosphere models T89D and TS04D.