







Direct Modulation of Electron Precipitation by ULF waves

A. W. Degeling¹, I. J. Rae², C. E. J. Watt³, Q. Q. Shi¹ and R. Rankin⁴

Institute of Space Sciences, Shandong University, Weihai, P. R. China
Mullard Space Science Laboratory, University College London, U.K.
Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, U.K.
Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada.

Observed Correlations in Pc5 ULF Waves and Modulated Electron Precipitation

- E.g.: Spanswick et al., JGR 2005:
- (Riometer obs: 30+ keV e⁻ precipitation).
- Clear preference for morning sector.
- General explanation: ULF waves modulate the growth rate of Whistler mode Chorus emissions:
 - \Rightarrow Affects pitch angle scattering rate.
 - \Rightarrow modulates precipitation.
- BUT: Can ULF waves directly modulate electron precipitation?
- Recent work by Rae et al., JGR 2018 (poster, this meeting) indicates this possibility.



Modulated Precipitation directly by ULF waves?

- Hypothesis: ULF waves directly affect the precipitation of W>30 keV electrons (observed by riometers):
 - Equatorial pitch angle for loss cone:

 $\alpha_{LC} = \cos^{-1}\left(\left(1 - \frac{B_{eq}}{B_{ion}}\right)^{1/2}\right)$

- B_{eq} is affected by ULF waves, particularly at high L-shell.
- Simple picture: Modulation in $\alpha_{LC} = >$ modulation in precipitation.



How to investigate this...?

- Assume an initial distribution $f(\propto, W)$ for electrons.
- Perturb magnetic field using model ULF waves (Degeling et al., JGR 2018)
- Initial stab based on the simple picture:
 - To find total precipitating flux detected by riometers, calculate:

$$\int_{W_0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\alpha_{LC}} f(\alpha, W) W dW d\alpha$$

- Include transport effects using Backward Liouville Test Kinetic (BLTK) method:
 - Bounce-average model to calculate trajectories with constant M, K.
 - Account for losses along drift path when mirror pt lies within ionosphere.

3D ULF wave model

- Background magnetic field:
 - Stern vacuum field used (Stern, JGR 1985)
- Spectral method for γ direction:
 - 3D PDE => N (~10) coupled 2D PDEs in (α, β, t)
 - High σ_p ionospheric B.C. => Nodes in E.





- Coupled 2D PDEs solved using FEM.
 - Refined mesh based on SAW resonant surfaces.
 - Simple radial density profile used for this study.
 - Waves launched along magnetopause boundary using a symmetric profile about 12 MLT.

Spatial Structure of $\Delta \alpha_{LC} / \alpha_{LC}$ due to ULF MHD Fast mode waves



- This example: Continuously driven 3 mHz magnetopause perturbations launch MHD fast modes into the dayside magnetosphere.
- If the loss cone is rapidly refilled on ULF wave timescales by an isotropic parent distribution (...) then the precipitating flux modulation would also look like this.

Spatial Structure of $\Delta \alpha_{LC} / \alpha_{LC}$ due to ULF MHD Fast mode waves



Including Drift Effects Using Bounce-Average Model

 Run ULF wave model with a short duration wave 3 mHz packet input:



- Calculate 1st order field line displacements from E-field outputs.
- Calculate B(s) and bounce-average quadrature quantities for a set of (M,K) coordinates on ULF wave model 2D mesh.
- Calculate "Backward Liouville Test Kinetic" trajectories, interpolating drift velocities onto e⁻ positions.



BLTK Trajectories

Backward Liouville Test Kinetic method including ionospheric loss:

- At $t = t_f$ ("measurement time"):
 - Choose final values for (L,MLT,W)
 - Set $K = K_{ion}(L,MLT,t_f)$
- Integrate back to t = 0 (where PSD is given)
- <u>If</u> $K_{ion}(t) > K_{ion}(t_f)$ <u>along entire trajectory</u>: Map PSD to final t_f
- <u>Otherwise</u>: Set PSD = 0
- Scan values of t_f.



Accounting for previous precipitation along a trajectory:



Morning

Evening

Accounting for previous precipitation along a trajectory:



Morning

Evening

Accounting for previous precipitation along a trajectory:



Evening

Chapman Conference on Radiation Belt Particle Dynamics, Cascais Portugal, March 2018

Accounting for previous precipitation along a trajectory:



Morning

Evening

Future directions

In order to more rigorously make predictions / comparisons against observations, we need to:

- Include timescales for pitch-angle scattering:
 - re-filling rate of loss cone
 - validity of adiabatic invariants
- Account for factors controlling ULF wave power distribution in the dayside magnetosphere e.g. plasma density profile.

